

ABC News 147 Columbus Avenue New York NY 10023 (212) 456 1600



Primetime
LIVE

April 5, 1996

Michael Donahoe, Esq.
Assistant to the Federal Defender
Federal Defender of Montana
2nd Floor
New York Block
46 Last Chance Gulch
Helena, MT 59624

Dear Mr. Donahoe:

I know that your office must be inundated with calls from the press. Therefore, I am writing to formally express my interest in interviewing your client, Theodore J. Kaczynski for the ABC News magazine, *PrimeTime Live*.

I can offer your client an in-depth interview in a controlled environment in which he could tell his story in his own words, thereby providing a vehicle through which he could end the speculation and the sensationalism surrounding this case. Of course, your presence would be welcome.

PrimeTime Live is the nation's number one weekday news magazine with over 30-million viewers each week. It airs on Wednesdays at 10:00 p.m. Our staff adheres to the highest caliber of journalistic standards. I can assure you that any piece we produce will be crafted with accuracy and fairness.

I would like to talk with you further regarding this request. Please call me directly at: (212) 456-2060, or you may call my Associate Producer, with whom you may already be familiar, Kristin Whiting at: (212) 456-1639.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully yours,

Diane Sawyer
Diane Sawyer



FEDERAL DEFENDERS
OF MONTANA

APR 11 1996

HELENA BRANCH

April 9, 1996

Mr. Theodore J. Kaczynski
c/o Lewis & Clark County Jail
221 Breckenridge Street
Helena, Montana 59601

Dear Mr. Kaczynski,

I realize that you have been flooded with various requests for interviews and solicitations by attorneys. While I have sent a letter to your attorney, I have also decided to appeal to you directly. We would like to do an exclusive television interview with you for "Dateline NBC," the NBC News magazine program anchored by Stone Phillips and Jane Pauley.

The media, in conjunction with the FBI, have in essence labeled you the "Unabomber." I recognize that these very early stages of court proceedings may preclude you from discussing certain specifics. Nevertheless, I would like to arrange an interview with you to talk about the way you have lived your life up to this time.

Whether or not you are the person the FBI and media claim you to be, you have undoubtedly led an interesting life. The anchor of our program, Stone Phillips, would like to talk with you and help people understand the life path you have chosen, shunning the conventions of modern life and finding solace in nature. I am sure there are many lessons you can teach those of us who are slaves to technology, particularly as we approach the millenium.

Of course, there is a great irony in anyone asking you to do a television interview, given your apparent aversion to technology. But in doing an interview with "Dateline NBC," you have the ability to reach the widest possible audience, both in the United States and around the world.


As the most-watched news magazine program on television, we are on four nights a week--Sunday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Friday. Therefore, we have a great deal of time to devote to an interview with you.

Please let me know if this idea appeals to you. If this is not a good time for you to do an interview, please let this stand as an open invitation for you to talk with us whenever

you feel the time is right. I am currently staying at the Park Plaza Hotel in Helena, Montana: 406-443-2200, Room 201. My colleague Libby Rager and I can also be reached if you call collect to New York at 212-664-2447 or 212-664-7874. We can also be reached via mail at the above address.

Thank you very much for your attention; you can feel free to contact me directly or through Mr. Donahoe.

Sincerely,



Bradley Davis
Associate Producer

The New York Times

WASHINGTON BUREAU
1627 I STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006
(202) 862-0300

April 9, 1996

Theodore J. Kaczynski
Lewis and Clark County Jail
221 Breckenridge
Helena, Montana
59601

Dear Mr. Kaczynski:

I am a reporter for The Times. I have been writing about what the Government calls the Unabom case for years. I know that the Government says that it was you who communicated with Warren Hoge over the years. And by way of introduction, I refer to the following number, which I repeat in part: 5-3-25-4--4.

At this point, I don't think there can be any doubt about the seriousness of our purpose in this entire matter, from a legal, philosophical or journalistic point of view. For that reason, of course, I am suggesting that if you care to speak with anyone, you consider us.

You and I are about the same age. I too have lived in the Berkeley area as well as Chicago. I felt a resonance between my own experience and education and the ideas expressed in the manuscript. On a professional level I would match against anybody my reputation for journalistic intelligence, fairness and open-mindedness.

I appreciate that you have experienced a deeply wrenching experience in recent days and must be feeling the kind of dislocation that comes with being thrown from one world into another. I am interested in talking about you and your ideas whenever you could write or call, collect of course. My phone number is 202-862-0319 at the office or 202-363-4443 at home.

Sincerely,



David Johnston

The Washington Post

NEW YORK BUREAU
251 WEST 57TH ST., 12TH FLOOR

NEW YORK, NY 10019

TEL: (212) 445-~~4853~~ 212-445-4817
FAX: (212) 445-4853

FEDERAL DEFENDERS
OF MONTANA

APR 11 1996

HELENA BRANCH

April 10, 1996

Mr. Theodore J. Kaczynski
c/o Lewis and Clark County Jail
221 Breckenridge
Helena, Montana 59601

Dear Mr. Kaczynski,


As we at The Washington Post report on your arrest and the statements by law enforcement authorities about your alleged activities, we would like to ask if you would grant an interview to reporters here for the articles we are preparing.

We make this request without knowing whether you intend to claim credit for the manuscript published by our newspaper, as well as for the series of bombings to which you have been linked by authorities; or whether you will maintain that you are not responsible for these activities.

But we feel it would be useful to understand your side of this story, either by phone, in person, or in written questions and answers.

Thank you for considering this request.

Sincerely,




Ben Weiser
staff writer

Great Falls Tribune

I would like people to know that a great deal of what they read about me in the newspapers is false. I can't list all of the errors here — if I tried to do that, this letter would be far too long for you to publish. But I am learning that the media's standard of accuracy is shockingly bad. When I was a kid, people used to say, "You can't believe what you read in the papers." I never realized how true that statement was until now.

On a different subject, I would like to say that I have been treated very kindly and considerately by the staff at the Lewis and Clark County Jail, and I want to thank them for it publicly.

Theodore J. Kaczynski



20 May 1996

Theodore Kaczynski
Lew and Clark Courthouse
(Law Enforcement Building)
221 Breckenridge
Helena, MT 59601

Dear Mr. Kaczynski:

I am the Book-Review Editor for *The Montana Professor*--a copy of which I enclose.

I have not been able to find a reviewer for the enclosed book. It occurred to me that--given your elemental lifestyle independent of modern technology--you might look with favor on reviewing a book about a group who were concerned about the impact of technology on their traditional way of life.

If you do want to review the book, you may keep the copy for your self. If you do want to review it, please follow the guidelines mentioned in the enclosed copy of *The Montana Professor*. I would like you to focus on the book--what is its thesis? for whom was it written? Is it well written, well researched, informative, persuasive, biased, etc.

If you like the book, explain why, but also indentify inadequacies and areas where it could have been better. If you dislike the book, explain why, but also find something to praise in it. I want neither a hatchet-job nor a puff piece.


Consult the reviews in *MP* for guidance as to tone and manner of proceeding. I would like the review for the Fall issue. If you decide to do it, send me a draft by 1 July. Revisions may be required.

I would appreciate your letting me know your decision asap. I am also willing to negotiate the deadline.

Sincerely,

October 20, 1998

Larry Ish
7800 Beverly Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90036
Phone: (213)852-2536



CLASS 1

Theodore Kaczynski
P.O. Box 8500
Florence, CO 81226

Dear Mr. Kaczynski:


Since your arrest, the world has been waiting to hear your side of the story. I understand how the press and media can warp or outright lie about a person's history because it makes for a better story. "The Roseanne Show" would like to offer you a platform from which you can speak out about the injustices that you feel have been dealt to you and your family.

If you know anything about Roseanne, you must know that she is a non-conformist and rarely does what society expects of her. I believe that you and her would definitely "hit it off" and the conversation would definitely be interesting and fulfilling for the both of you.

I also understand that you are attempting to have a book about your life published and a show like "The Roseanne Show" with its international audience could only help your prospects. A woman who was recently jailed for having the babies of a young boy recently had her book published in France after having it rejected by American publishers. Personally, I think it will soon be on American shelves because of the interest in the story and because of all the media play the release received here. Since, as I've said, our show is broadcast internationally, there is a very good chance that publishers outside the U.S. will want to produce your story as well.

Thank you for taking the time to review my request and please feel free to write back to me at the address above or, if you are able to, you can call me at the phone number I've listed above between 6am and 6pm, Monday through Friday, collect. I promise you, anything that we discuss or any letters you may send will remain off the record and will be held in complete confidentiality.

Sincerely,


Larry Ish
Producer

TED KACZYWSKI

April 1, 1999

Dear [REDACTED]

The program you represent is called "Larry King Live." Does this mean that your broadcasts are in fact live? In other words, if I were to give you an interview, would it be broadcast at the same time it is being given? This is important to me because in a live broadcast my remarks could not be edited. In the few cases in which I've given information to journalists, they have selected for publication or broadcast the parts that fitted the image they wanted to project, and they have omitted the rest. Thus I've been prevented from saying publicly what I want to say. That's why an opportunity to make unedited remarks would be very attractive to me.

I should add that I'm not about to give any television or radio interviews in the immediate future, but I may be willing to give you one later on if the program will be live and unedited.

Sincerely yours,

Ted Kaczynski

30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10112
212 664-4602

A Division of
National Broadcasting
Company, Inc.

Katie Couric
TODAY



October 27, 1999

Mr. Theodore Kaczynski
#04475-046
P.O. Box 8500
Florence, CO 81226-8500

Dear Mr. Kaczynski:

I, like most of the world, read with great interest the story Stephen Dubner wrote from his interview, which you granted. I also had an insightful conversation with Mr. Dubner, when I interviewed him recently on the *TODAY* show.

I wanted to let you know personally that I would obviously be very interested in also sitting down with you for an interview. It would give you a chance to explain your experiences to our huge audience and also the opportunity to share your views and concerns, which I know you've long wanted to do.

As an aside, you must be very happy with the recent decision by the federal appeals court to hear your request to withdraw your guilty plea. I'm sure you're very busy these days working on your defense.

If you would like to discuss any of this, I would be happy to speak with you by phone. Please don't hesitate to call me collect anytime at (212) 664-5905.

I look forward to hopefully hearing from you. I'd also be more than happy to just come and meet with you, if you think that would be helpful.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Katie Couric".

Katie Couric

PROPERTY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN LIBRARY

This photocopy is for reference use only. Deposit in another library is forbidden and further reproduction is prohibited under the provision of the 1976 Copyright Law. Permission to publish must be obtained in writing from the University of Michigan library, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109.



January 25, 1999

Theodore Kaczynski
CRG # 04475-046
P.O. Box 8500
Florence, Colorado 81226-8500

Dear Mr. Kaczynski:

I am one of two legal analysts at CNN. Prior to my work as a legal analyst, I did criminal defense work for 17 years. My work included death penalty cases, murder cases, armed robberies, post conviction, appeals etc. I have worked on cases involving all sorts of issues - including issues where an accused wishes to represent himself or herself.

I would like interview you. I would like to focus on, among other things, the issue of whether a mental illness defense can be or should be forced on a legally competently accused. Many find it inconsistent that an accused can be found competent to stand trial (and to help counsel) yet be denied the ability to make the ultimate decision of his/her defense. Your case is particularly fascinating since you reject the findings and no one can dispute that you are an extremely smart man.

Would you be willing to talk to me? I think it imperative that you meet me before you make any decisions - assuming you find the issue tempting to discuss. If you are interested, please call me collect at (202) 515-2297. You can also write me c/o CNN, 820 First Street, N. E., Washington, D. C. 20002.

Sincerely,

Greta Van Susteren

PROPERTY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN LIBRARY

This photocopy is for reference use only. Deposit in another library is forbidden and further reproduction is prohibited under the provision of the 1976 Copyright Law. Permission to publish must be obtained in writing from the University of Michigan Library, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109.

507

Theodore John Kaczynski
04475-046
U.S. Penitentiary Max
P.O. Box 8500
Florence CO 81226-8500

February 10, 2000

Editors

The New York Review
1755 Broadway, 5th Floor
New York NY 10019-3780

Dear Sirs:

In the exchange of letters on psychoanalysis that appeared in the New York Review, February 24, 2000, no one addressed what is possibly the most important problem with psychoanalysis. Whether this or that psychoanalytic claim is true or false is somewhat beside the point. The Bible contains much historical truth and many astute insights into human nature, but that isn't why people believe in the Bible. Similarly, the alchemists of the mystical school may have made many correct observations that were useful to later scientists, but that wasn't what their enterprise was all about, nor was it why they believed in their theory.

The scientist's aim is to formulate theories that correctly predict observable results. Devising a theory that stands up under empirical testing satisfies the scientist's personal needs in various ways,

as by giving him a sense of accomplishment, winning the approval of his colleagues, and advancing his career. What distinguishes pseudoscience from science (and I'm referring here to sincere pseudoscience, not to conscious charlatanism) is that the pseudoscientist satisfies his personal needs through belief in a theory rather than through empirical testing of theories. It is the psychological potency of the theory itself that attracts the pseudoscientist, not its capacity to predict experimental results. Thus the pseudoscientist's commitment to a theory is determined largely by his psychological needs rather than by empirical observation. In this respect pseudoscience resembles religion and much of philosophy, and differs from them only in that it tries to clothe itself in the trappings of empirical science.

One doesn't have to read much psychoanalysis to see that its methods are predominantly those of pseudoscience, not science. Even the language used makes this clear. For example, Marcia Cavell says in the fourth paragraph of her letter that "the mind is not the master in its own house," and apparently she thinks that this statement has a scientific basis. But in scientific terms the statement is meaningless. It is an expression of



attitude, an ideological statement, and is characteristic of psychoanalytic ideology.

Since I haven't read anything psychoanalytic published within approximately the last two decades, I'm not in a position to assert that psychoanalysis is still pseudoscience today. But it would be surprising if the field had reformed itself in a sufficiently fundamental way to become a genuine science.


I assume that the foregoing remarks will meet with considerable resistance from believers in psychoanalysis — and here I use the word "resistance" in the psychoanalytic sense. To paraphrase Mortimer Ostow (fourth paragraph of his letter), "Does a psychoanalyst know why he believes in psychoanalysis? It is common to reply to this question with rationalizations, but rationalization isn't reason."

Ted Kaczynski

From:
The New York Review of Books
1755 Broadway, 5th Floor
New York, NY 10019-3780



To: Theodore John KACZYNSKI
04475-046
US PENITENTIARY MAX
PO BOX 8500
FLORENCE, CO 81226-8500



The New York Review of Books

1755 Broadway, 5th Floor, New York, NY 10019-3780

Thank you very much for writing. Since we receive thousands of letters and comments, we cannot reply directly to each one. Nor can we say in advance whether a letter will be published, although each one is carefully considered.

The Editors

0701.0

This was returned
to TJK with
rejection slip

Theodore John
04475-046
U.S. Penitentiary
P.O. Box 850
Florence CO

January 8, 1971

Arthur Kretchmer
Editorial Director
Playboy Magazine
680 North Lake Shore Drive
Chicago IL 60611

Dear Mr. Kretchmer:

I may decide to give an interview.
I would like to know whether you
are interested in interviewing me or in
writing an article based on an interview with me.

Owing to my peculiar situation
I have to impose certain conditions on
any interview that I may give:

1. A representative of mine must
be present at all times.

0701.067



PLAYBOY

The enclosed material has been read and considered, but we do not find it suitable for Playboy.

We regret that we cannot offer individual criticism, because of the volume of submissions we receive.

Your interest in Playboy is appreciated.

The Editors

08120

Theodore John Kaczynski
04475-046
U.S. Penitentiary Max
P.O. Box 8500
Florence CO 81226-8500

January 9, 2001

John S. Wenner
Editor and Publisher
Rolling Stone
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York NY 10104

Dear Mr. Wenner:

I may decide to give an interview soon, and I would like to know whether you would be interested in interviewing me or in publishing an article based on an interview with me.

Owing to my peculiar situation, I will have to impose certain conditions on any interview that I may give:

1. A representative of mine must be present at all times during the interview.
2. No questions can be asked that are not approved by my representative. Questions will have to cover certain topics that I will specify, but once those have been covered the interviewing periodical can ask questions on other topics, with the reservation that certain topics will be off limits.
3. No word can be spoken during the interview except when the tape recorder is running. Every word must be recorded.

08/20

4. My representative will take immediate possession of each tape as it is finished. He or she will subsequently copy the tapes, keep the originals, and give the copies to you.

As you may know, I am currently involved in a legal appeal, and for legal reasons I have to be careful what I say. I also have to be careful that no one can later claim that I said something that I did not actually say. This is the main reason for the conditions 1 through 4 above.

I have a friend whom I would trust to interview me and write an article based on the interview. The catch is that she would have to remain anonymous or use a pseudonym. If this is unacceptable to you, then other arrangements should be possible. For example, you might send your own interviewer, and my friend could merely serve as my representative in accord with the conditions 1 through 4 above. Under these circumstances I imagine you would have no objection to her remaining anonymous.

If you are interested in any such proposal, please let me know as soon as may be convenient for you.

Sincerely yours,

Ted Kaczynski



1290 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10104 (212)484-1616

January 29, 2001

Theodore John Kaczynski
04475-046
U.S. Penitentiary Max
P.O. Box 8500
Florence, cO 81226-8500

Dear Mr. Kaczynski:

We are very interested in an interview with you for publication in an article in *Rolling Stone*. Regarding the conditions set forth in your letter for the interview, I am confident that we can come to terms. We would be willing to abide by your request to keep certain subjects off limits for your legal needs, providing we are apprised of these areas before we begin. We do not object to your representative's presence or the full on-the-record taping of the material during the sessions. We would ask that your representative copy the tape for us immediately after the interview, and the copyright ownership of the tape is *Rolling Stone's* and cannot be sold to or used by another publication or medium without our permission.

We should point out that we're seeking an in-depth interview, and will be asking you questions of personal nature about who you are, what motivated you to live the life you have lived, what you believe, to discuss your current life and so forth.

As regards the writer, we would choose a writer, and the article would be wholly a product of this writer's work, based on the transcription of the interview with you.

Rolling Stone has an impeccable record of accuracy in its reporting in 33 years of publishing, and we are the recipient of many awards to prove it. We would be happy to speak to you or your representative about any or all of this at any time.

We look forward to further discussions.

Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jann S. Wenner". The signature is fluid and stylized, with a long, sweeping horizontal line extending to the right.

Jann S. Wenner

0812

Theodore John Kaczynski
04475-046
U.S. Penitentiary Max
P.O. Box 8500
Florence CO 81226-8500
February 7, 2001

John S. Wenner
Editor and Publisher
Rolling Stone
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York NY 10104

Dear Mr. Wenner:

Thank you for your courteous letter of January 29, which I received yesterday, February 6.

In my letter to you of January 9, I wrote, "I may decide to give an interview soon" (emphasis added). I had been considering the possibility of giving an interview because of an urgent and very disagreeable situation that had been forced on me by the impulsive behavior of a certain person whom I need not identify here. It now looks as if that situation will be resolved without my having to give an interview. However, this is not yet certain. I won't decide definitely whether to give an interview until I've discussed the question with a particular friend of mine.

But if, as seems likely, I decide not to give an interview in the near future, then I apologize for having wasted



1290 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10104 (212)484-1616

February 21, 2001

Theodore John Kaczynski
04475-046
U.S. Penitentiary Max
P.O. Box 8500
Florence, CO 81226-8500

Dear Mr. Kaczynski:

Thank you for your recent letter. I am happy to hear your problems have been resolved but disappointed we won't have the chance to interview you. I had some pretty terrific writers from *Rolling Stone* lined up to go visit with you and my strong feelings about these writers and your willingness to discuss the non-legal aspects of your situation today would have produced quite a brilliant piece of journalism.

In any case, should participating in such an event be of interest to you in the future, we remain very interested. (I vaguely recall some discussion about an earlier interview with you but I don't recall the details nor do I remember the writer.)

Thank you for thinking of *Rolling Stone*.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jann S. Wenner". The signature is fluid and stylized, with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Jann S. Wenner

JSW/mo

547
February 12, 2001

Ted Kaczynski
#004475-046
POB 8500
Florence, CO 81226-8500

Re: college loan payment

Dear Ted:

I read a news story about the many journalists who are trying to get you to do an interview with them. I owe about \$30,000 on my student loan for a master's degree in English. It's the only money I owe, but it is still holding me down. I am a year behind on payments due to illness, so the interests and collection costs have accrued. I was hoping you would "kill two birds with one stone."

Since I worked as a journalist for a small local newspaper for three years, I was hoping you would help by giving me the interview that I could sell to one of the corporate megaliths. They would probably pay enough to wipe out my school debt. Perhaps even more, but after expenses I would give the extra to charity if you required it to be so.

I have no other agenda and no desire to become famous as a journalist with this stunt. I don't even want to do that work anymore, because it's like being a social vampire. (There is still some good in it.) I simply want to get rid of this burden and move on with my life honestly. So, if you would agree to this, please contact me at the address below. If not, I still wish you peace for your time left on our Earth.

Sincerely,

September 8, 2001

0671

"The Mail"

The New Yorker

4 Times Square

New York NY 10036-6592

Sirs:

Jon Lee Anderson represents Simón Bolívar as having said that his attempt to unify Latin America had been like "plowing the sea" ("The Revolutionary", September 10, page 62, column 3). But what Bolívar actually wrote was, "He who serves a revolution plows the sea" (letter to General Juan José Flores, November 9, 1830; see Simón Bolívar: Escritos políticos, edited by Graciela Soriano, Alianza Editorial, S.A., Madrid, 1975, page 169). The distinction is important, because Bolívar was making a remark about revolutions in general, not just about the effort to unify Latin America. Perhaps he had come to realize that, if the word "revolution" is understood to mean an attempt to transform an entire society and not merely to attain a specific political goal such as the independence of a colony, then revolutions never achieve the expected and desired results. Revolutions can be successful only in their destructive

aspect. You can destroy an existing social order but you can't build a new one according to a preconceived plan. The outcome of any revolution is completely uncontrollable.

It is extremely important for people to understand that this is the case, and that it applies also to the technological revolution that we are currently going through. If people fully grasped the implications of this, they would shudder.

Ted Kaczynski

Theodore John Kaczynski

04475-046

U.S. Penitentiary Max

P.O. Box 8500

Florence CO 81226-8500

I am not accessible by telephone.

0969.0

THEODORE JOHN KACZYNSKI
04475-046
U.S. PENITENTIARY MAX
P.O. BOX 8500
FLORENCE CO 81226-8500

2007

February 1, ~~2007~~

Adam Tanner
Bureau Chief
Reuters America LLC
88 Kearny Street
6th Floor
San Francisco CA 94108

Dear Mr. Tanner,

On January 31, I received your letter dated January 23. I'm rather shocked that you ask no questions about what is far and away the most important issue in this case, namely, the First Amendment issue. If you've read my October court filing, you should be aware that the government has proposed to round up and seize every copy of everything I've ever written or ever will write. This is a barefaced attempt to suppress both my ideas and the truth about the Unabom case.

To such an attempt you are perhaps indifferent. But if the government is allowed to suppress my ideas or the truth about the Unabom case, then it will soon suppress

TJK to ADAM TANNER 2/1/07

2.

something else, and some day it will suppress the ideas and the truths that you think are important. Who was it who said, "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty"?

You ask why it is important to bar sale of my past possessions. It is important to bar sale of my papers because they will be sold to private parties who probably will not make the papers available to the public, or will make available only a selected sample that will give a slanted picture of the Unabom case. I want to put the papers in libraries where they will be available to scholars, researchers, and the public generally.

As for property other than papers and the like, it is the government that wants to bar sale of some of that property. I want all property, apart from papers and other First Amendment expressive materials, to be either sold or returned to me, at the government's option.

If you want further details about the First Amendment issues at stake here, I suggest you call John Balazs, 916-447-9299.

Sincerely yours,

Ted Kaczynski

0969.0

Feb 12, 2007

Theodore John Kaczynski
04475-046
U.S. Penitentiary Max
P.O. Box 8500
Florence, CO 81226-8500

Dear Mr. Kaczynski:

I received your Feb 1 letter with interest and thank you for your response. I wrote an article for the Reuters wire outlining your views on the subject last Friday.

I had some additional questions if I may:

You write that you would like to make the papers available in libraries for scholars and researchers. Do you think they are of interest for your thoughts on the dangers of modern technology, or do you think they are more valuable to understand the man behind one of the most prominent series of crimes in recent decades?

If copies of the documents would be available to researchers, or even on the Internet, why is it important that the originals be available to researchers?


In evaluating the content of your writings, I think your assessment of the Unabomb crimes is valuable. So I repeat a question from my first letter: As you reflect on the past, do you regret your actions that killed two people in Sacramento, California, and another in North Caldwell, New Jersey and injured 29 others? How do you explain those actions today and what might you have done things differently in your life?

You speak about an attempt to suppress the truth in the Unabomb case. What do you mean by that?

What do you have to say to the victims and survivors of the Unabomb crimes? Again, addressing such issues is important in assessing the man behind the writings you want to keep available to researchers.

Thank you for your consideration of these questions.

Sincerely,



Adam Tanner
Bureau Chief

Reuters America LLC
88 Kearny Street
6th Floor
San Francisco CA 94108

Tel 415-677-2500
Fax 415-398-6593
www.reuters.com

TED KACZYNSKI
to
ADAM TANNER
REUTERS AMERICA

February 23, 2007

0969.0

Dear Mr. Tanner:

Today I received your letter dated February 12, 2007, in which you tell me that you wrote an article for the Reuters wire outlining the views I expressed in my letter to you of February 1, 2007. Before I answer your additional questions I would like to receive a copy of your article, so that I can tell whether it was a mistake for me to answer your first letter.

Also, when I wrote you my letter of February 1 it appeared that I was going to have to act as my own lawyer in my current appeal, but it now seems likely that I will have representation in this appeal. Before I answer ^{further} questions from you or any other journalist, I should consult with my prospective lawyers. It would be, at the least, discourteous for me to communicate with the media without first consulting them.

Sincerely yours,

Ted Kaczynski